On Wed, 16 Jun 2021 22:02:50 +0000, cameron jamieson wrote:

Hello Michael,

I just sent an email to Christian about the Boomerang effect and maybe you might be able to help considering your question in the Psyche, here it is;

Last night we gathered for our weekly casual meeting to do some reading and at the moment we are reading Arahat Athersata and we got to the part talking about the Boomerang effect of the Life-based thought form.

To help with understanding this I came up with an example analogy everyday thought form, which is thinking about building a house for your family to call home. This is an overall/innately 'GOOD' thought form or as Michael puts it in the Psyche, a "healthy thought substance".

The example analogy goes like this:

Overall/innately 'GOOD' thought form, "healthy thought substance", POSITIVE thought is sent out: I am going to build a house for my family to call home...

Overall/innately 'GOOD' thought form, "healthy thought substance", NEGATIVE thought returns to sender:

That is going to take a lot of effort...

'GOOD', POSITIVE sent out:

I will get a team together to help me do this...

'GOOD', NEGATIVE returns:

That is going to cost a lot of money...

'GOOD', POSITIVE:

I will get the money...

'GOOD", NEGATIVE:

You will have to pay that back...

Etc, etc...

Is this an acceptable everyday example to help explain what is happening?

Kind regards and Salome,

Cameron Jamieson

Hello Cameron...I think that your example is good. Having a problem and finding the solution, and this in turn leads to new problems with new solutions... We choose how we respond to challenges according to how we view life. We can let ourselves get overwhelmed by the problems and give up and sink or we find work-arounds/solutions, new ways of perceiving the situation, etc. (usually with the help of the subconsciousness working on it overnight).

Salome

Michael (p.s. the same Michael in The Psyche).

Hello Michael,

Thank you for your help with this, yes I thought it was you the same Michael, that is why I thought you would have some good words to share on this, I like what you said about the healthy and unhealthy thought substance and thank you for getting to the bottom of that supposed paradox, as Arahat said, which created the pondering for many. I also emailed Christian the same email, so I will share with you his response..

Hi Cameron

Actually the boomerang effect is the application, putting into practice (implementing), and working of the law of cause and effect.

Another example:

I'm aware of the fact that I'm responsible for my thoughts and the resulting feelings.

A neighbour is mad at me because 3 branches of one of my trees is growing over the fence. He's upset and demands that I immediately cut the branches and won't allow them to grow over the fence again.

Action version 1: I'm yelling back and call him a pedant, kook, or exaggerator etc. and take up the fight/quarrel. In my blood adrenalin and other stress hormones are rising and my body feels tense and uncomfortable, besides that my emotional condition is getting out of control.

Action version 2: I am aware about his "mental narrowness" and "mental imbalanceness" etc. and, therefore, about his actual lack of thought control. I don't want to start a "war" and start to deescalate the situation by saying: "Yes, you're right, I will cut the branches", etc. Inside I'm calm, well perhaps have a little rise of temperature (1 or 2 degrees), but I'm far from a predisposition of developing gastric ulcer based on hatred, constant tension or a general pessimistic view of, or stance to life.

Salome, Christian

Hello Michael,

Yes you have my permission, thank you for asking.

Just to be completely clear, the examples I give relate to the Life-based thinking and not the feeling-based thinking, which I'm sure you are aware of but I just wanted to completely clear.

Your question in the Psyche book was a very big help towards my examples, I just hope I have my examples correct, of course it they seem correct to me, well at least they can be a reasonable starting point.

Your welcome

Salome Cameron

Hello Cameron...I didn't really think about it but now that you mention it, it would appear that in the example, one would take the time to reflect on what would be the best response whereas if a quick

and rash response was undertaken, the consequences could quickly escalate into a very unpleasant situation. (in CF's example) Or in your example, if there was a lack of initiative to find a solution to a goal to be achieved/accomplished, failure would occur before one even started.

Salome

Michael

Hello Mu,

Yes something like that.

We have a meeting here each week and at the moment we are reading the Arahat Athersata, where it talks about the boomerang effect.

On page 276: 342. Inevitably and according to nature, therefore, the evil must be harvested for the good, the good for the evil, as well as the negative for the positive, and the positive for the negative, which must then be processed and evaluated according to its kind.

I can understand the change of energy poles but the good for evil was what got me writing up my example, trying to provide a real word example to help in explaining the action of it, that is where you question is a big help.

Page 278: 347. If good or positive is sent out, evil or negative is harvested for it; this evil or negative, however, is in turn transformed, **through the thought-work**, into good and positive, thus processed into the usable good which can be utilised again.

", if there was a lack of initiative to find a solution to a goal to be achieved/accomplished, failure would occur before one even started." Yes I like this, if the direction of the thoughts is bad/evil, from the start (lack of initiative to find a solution to a goal to be achieved) then (failure would occur before one even started)

Salome Cameron