## Route poteciso <br> LOCATION MAP

## STUDY AREA



## Route poicio <br> PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT \& OUTREACH EFFORTS



- Project Advisory (PAC) and Stakeholder (SC) Committee Meetings
- PAC \#1-Sept 8, 2015
- SC \#1-Feb 5, 2016
- PAC \#2-Aug 22, 2017
- SC \#2-Aug 31, 2017
- Public Meeting \#1-Mar 2, 2016 (over 120 attendees)
- Public Meeting \#2-Oct 5, 2017
- Online Survey with 900 Responses


## Route poociso <br> SUMMARY OF COMPLETED ANALYSES

$\checkmark$ Traffic Report for Base and No-Build Conditions
$\checkmark$ Traffic Model Calibration and Validation Report
$\checkmark$ Corridor Safety Study
$\checkmark$ Highway Deficiency and Design Criteria Report
$\checkmark$ Project Purpose and Need Statement
$\checkmark$ Alternatives Screening


## Route зo <br> PURPOSE AND NEED

## Route 30 Overall P\&N Statement:

Modernize the US 30 corridor infrastructure, thereby improving the safety, mobility, and economic vitality of the corridor.

Include improvements to:

- Safety conditions for the traveling public
- Operational deficiencies to enhance mobility
- Facility and infrastructure deficiencies to provide a reliable and sustainable facility
- Community and economic development constraints


## US 30 CORRIDOR SAFETY

## Historic Crash Rates

## Almost 2 per week $\mid$ Higher than expected number <br> on average of injury accidents per year

SR 0030-A10
Observed Annual Crash Frequency


## Route poicai <br> OPERATIONAL DEFICIENCIES

## 2045 Level of Service (LOS) Deficiencies



- 2015 travel times of 10-13 minutes
- Approximately 3 minute variation between peaks


## - 2045 travel times of 10-21 minutes

- Up to $65 \%$ increase in travel delays (varies by peak)
- Over 10 minute travel time variation between peaks
- Overall reduction in travel reliability
- Inadequate traffic gaps measured for left-turns
- Left-turn issues, congestion, and queuing highlighted as top concerns by $43 \%$ of 90 survey respondents


## FACILITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEFICIENCIES

- Roadway Design Requirements
- Existing shoulders < 8-12' DM2 requirement
- Existing lanes < 11-12' DM2 requirement
- Miscellaneous Roadway or Geometry issues
- Clear zone concerns
- Sight-distance constraints
- Skewed intersection geometry
- Falling rock
- Pavement issues

- 1937 concrete base layer is 80 years old versus policy recommendation to replace beyond 55 years
- Mostly fair to poor pavement ratings in project



## Router $_{\text {projects }} 0$ <br> COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEV. CONSTRAINTS

Figure 11-5 Urban/Suburban Development Triangle

- Growth \& Congestion
- North Huntingdon Twp. building permits
- Westmoreland Co. Urban/Suburban Development Triangle
- Inadequate Multimodal Infrastructure and Community Connectivity


## Route pociso <br> CORRIDOR/PROJECT SEGMENTATION



