## The Walls of Moncharsh Keep Tumbling Down

By Herb Fox

ighteen years ago, an employment dispute between attorney **Phil Moncharsh** and the Ventura law firm where he then worked (the venerable Heily & Blase) marched its way through the appellate courts and became, for a long time, the last word in judicial review of arbitration awards. *Moncharsh v. Heily & Blase* (1992) 3 Cal.4th 1. The case held, in sum, that awards infected with legal error were immune to judicial correction. With apologies to Phil, who has since become a mainstay at **Rogers, Sheffield & Campbell,** the word *Moncharsh* became synonymous with "Get your (judicial) paws off my arbitration award!"

But the walls of *Moncharsh* have begun to tumble. Last year the California Supreme Court ruled that parties to an arbitration agreement can agree to subject the proceeding to judicial review for errors of law. *Cable Connection, Inc, v.* 

## THE OTHER BAR



Free confidential assistance to those in the legal community with substance abuse problems.

Weekly Other Bar Recovery Meetings are held in many areas, and others are being established. For times and locations, or to start a meeting in your area, contact the number or website below.

Provider of Certified MCLE Instructors MCLE courses available on our website www.otherbar.org
Confidential Hotline:
(800) 222-0767

DIRECTV, Inc. (2008) 44 Cal.4th 1334.

And now, in a Ventura County case involving an arbitration of a dispute over the sale of a house, a majority of our local division of the Court of Appeal affirmed a trial order vacating a \$1.5 million award on the ground that the arbitrator erroneously excluded evidence and thereby substantially prejudiced the defendant. See Civ. Pro. §1286(a)(5).



Herb Fox

In a published opinion,

Justice Arthur Gilbert, writing for the majority, found that the excluded evidence — of a lot-line adjustment that arguably wiped out the plaintiff's damages — denied the defendant the ability to introduce evidence of an "absolute defense." While careful to hold that "not every evidentiary ruling by an arbitrator 'can or should be reviewed by a court'," Justice Gilbert, joined by Justice Ken Yegan, held that under the facts of this case, the denial of the opportunity to present material evidence deprived the defendant of the benefit of the arbitration agreement bargain.

But **Justice Steve Perren**, in a rare dissent for this panel, wrote that the arbitrator's decision to exclude the evidence was a routine legal ruling that, under *Moncharsh*, cannot be reviewed for error. Justice Perren concluded that whether the arbitrator was right or wrong, "affirming the order of the trial court [vacating the award] cuts the heart out of *Moncharsh*."

Sorry. Phil — I'm sure Justice Perren meant that figuratively, and not personally!

The case is *Burlage v. Superior Court,* Court of Appeal case No. B211431, filed on August 31.

The Petitioners were represented by appellate specialist **Wendy Lascher**, and by **Richard M. Hoefflin** and **Jason M. Burrows** of Westlake Village.

The Real Party in Interest was represented by appellate specialists Lisa Perrochet and John A. Taylor, Jr. of Horvitz & Levy, John D. Lang of Lang, Hanigan & Carvalho in Woodland Hills; and Craig R. Smith, also from Woodland Hills.

Herb Fox is a Certified Appellate Law Specialist with offices in Santa Barbara and Century City.