

**SPRING CREEK ASSOCIATION  
COMMITTEE OF ARCHITECTURE REGULAR MEETING  
TUESDAY, OCTOBER 10, 2017, 5:30 P.M.  
401 FAIRWAY BLVD., SPRING CREEK, NV 89815**

**CALL TO ORDER:** Vice Chair Holland called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:**

**COA MEMBERS PRESENT:** Vice Chair Jill Holland, Members: Brien Park, Cassandra Banuelos

**MEMBERS ABSENT:** Chair Diane Parker, Member John Featherston,

**SCA STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:** SCA President Bahr, SCA Secretary Shields

**COMMENTS BY THE GENERAL PUBLIC:** None

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS:**

**THE FOLLOWING AGENDA ITEMS HAVE BEEN TAKEN OUT OF ORDER FROM THE  
PUBLISHED AGENDA NUMERIC ORDER.**

18. **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE A ZONING CHANGE AT 250 PARKCHESTER DR. (106D PARCEL) AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO.** Secretary Shields introduced the agenda item. Vice Chair Holland questioned how the decision was made to decide a school was needed at the location and if the public was included in that decision-making process. She also asked how the decision would impact Vista Grande and how many children will be affected. Casey Kelly, Buildings and Operations Director for the Elko County School District (ECSD) provided some supporting information in response to questions but did not know how many children would be affected from that area. Further comment and discussion ensued on the topic. Vice Chair Holland also questioned why the schools aren't "more spread out" over a wider geographic area in the community and whether residents of the area now under rezoning consideration have been notified and asked their opinion on whether they want a school in this area. Further discussion and explanation on how the planning process has evolved were offered by Mr. Kelly and President Bahr. Member Park asked if there had been any stipulations made of the Spring Creek Association by the ECSD in putting a school in the location being considered; for example, is ECSD expecting certain things from the community for having the school placed in this location? Further discussion ensued in response to the concerns raised including the traffic study and how certain areas would be impacted. Vice Chair Holland asked whether the intersection at Palace Parkway and State Route 227 would be affected more than it currently is? Mr. Kelly stated that it would be impacted less from a traffic standpoint. Vice Chair Holland also asked if discussion had occurred about people living on their own property or having guests living on their property. She stated that originally the property in question had been designated as a campground and developed for that purpose. She suggested that would help alleviate the problem of temporary living if that property was still available as a campground. Discussion ensued on the topic. Public comment was offered by Josh

Park (Tract 100) and Chairman of the Board of Directors stated that considerable discussion had occurred among the Board members and they do support the sale of this property for a new school location. He also stated that when the Board of Directors made the decision to sell the eleven (11) acre parcel at 250 Parkchester Dr. to ECSD it was in good faith and that they rushed a little bit in approving the sale because of the timeliness of the project. He stated that rather than wait for the traffic study to be done before they initiated the sale of the property, the Board approved the sale with good faith that key staff of ECSD, Mr. Kelly and Superintendent Jeff Zander, would make sure to do the right thing as far as road and land improvements for the project. He stated his only concern at this point is that he does not want to see SCA put in a position to have to support or finance improvements to roadways/intersections that need to be dealt with right now in conjunction with this project. He stated that all those improvements should be made to the specifications recommended when the project is initiated. Mr. Kelly stated that ECSD prime concern is the safety of the kids and that the County will do what is necessary to assure SCA's traffic and roadway concerns are addressed. Vice Chair Holland asked when the ECSD anticipates children will actually be able to attend the new school. Mr. Kelly indicated the ECSD plan is to have the school ready for study enrollment for the August 2019 school year. They are eager to get all design work completed so they can begin construction in the Spring of 2018. Tom Hannum (Tract 400), one of three At-Large members on the Board of Directors, offered public comment on school sidewalk/crosswalk, street and bus traffic considerations. He suggested that potentially fewer buses would be used in the new school area and whether curbs/sidewalks, crosswalks and school signage will be planned for kids walking to school. Mr. Kelly clarified school district bus policy stating the ECSD policy states every Spring Creek child has the opportunity to ride the bus; that bus traffic will be essentially the same but have new traffic patterns. Mr. Kelly stated that while bus service is available for every child in Spring Creek, children have the option to walk to school. Vice Chair Holland sought clarification on whether children who walk to school within a certain area will have crosswalks and other safety considerations. These points will be addressed under Agenda Item #19 to be discussed next. Additional discussion ensued. No further public or COA member comment was offered. Member Park moved to approve a zoning change at 250 Parkchester Dr. (106D Parcel D) currently zoned as C-1 to be rezoned to OS, Open Space, so ECSD can move forward to purchase the property for a new school allocation. Member Banuelos seconded the motion. The vote was called; the motion carried 3-0.

- 19. REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT AT 250 PARKCHESTER DR. (106D PARCEL) AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO.** Secretary Shields introduced the agenda item and reviewed the conditions placed on SCA's acceptance of the ECSD offer to purchase the property for a new school. All SCA's conditions to the sale that are being voted on for this agenda item must be agreed upon by the ECSD Board at their next meeting prior to the sale of the property being completed. Mr. Kelly stated that if the ECSD Board does not agree to these conditions, the sale would not proceed. **SCA Condition #1** stipulates requirements on widening the roadways, application of aggregate and asphalt to Elko County specifications to allow for bus passage. Clarification was made on the distance Condition #1 would cover and Mr. Kelly estimated distance to be about 40% of the entire parcel. President Bahr clarified to the COA members that they could make changes to these conditions if necessary prior to any vote. **Condition #2** stipulates a curb, gutter and sidewalk on the side where the school is located running the full length of the road between Country Club Pkwy and Spring Creek Pkwy. to address safety concerns and with the caveat that children could walk to school and save on bus expense.

Member Park clarified with Mr. Kelly that the ECSD is still planning on busing all students to and from the school, so bus expense is not a saving. He suggested that this condition may not need to be made and is a bit excessive when the same request was not made for Spring Creek Elementary School. He stated it is important to have a pavement walkway about 4 feet off the roadway and 4 feet wide would serve the same purpose. If a sidewalk is put in, the issue of who is responsible for shoveling it becomes an issue. SCA would be creating a whole different issue since this would not be in front of the school and not for the whole property. Member Park suggested he is not in favor of this stipulation as written but if it is reworded to reflect a walkway off the roadway. Further discussion and comments ensued. Josh Park commented that rather than rewording this stipulation that lowers the standard, none should be stipulated at all because the ECSD is probably come in and create some type of travel area for the children around the school with safety in mind. **Condition #3** stipulates adding turn lanes on Parkchester Dr. going to Spring Creek Pkwy and on and Country Club Pkwy. going to Spring Creek Pkwy. to allow getting on and off these roads more readily without holding up traffic. The example of turn lanes at Boyd Kennedy road was cited as an example of turn lanes that would have helped alleviate traffic issues. Discussion ensued. Tom Hannum (Tract 400) offered public comment regarding turn lanes citing the issue of obtaining County approvals. Additional comment was offered about putting these stipulations on the sale of the property. **Condition #4** stipulated the need for additional traffic studies at the intersections of Spring Creek Pkwy. and Parkchester Dr. and Spring Creek Pkwy. and Country Club Pkwy. Secretary Shields reviewed traffic study statistics that have been prepared for that area per day in the time frame of school operating hours. Additional COA comment followed. Josh Park (Tract 100) offered public comment stating the Board wanted to have language included in the Conditions that took into consideration future development of the entire area. The Dance Club is already in the neighborhood and if we were to have more people on that street in a combined retail/school area it wouldn't hurt to have people be developing those properties with the idea of sidewalks/curbs/gutters addressed at that time even though we may not need them currently for the school project. **Condition #5** stipulates geometrics for final design of the roads in the subdivision where this school is to be located and reflects what has been discussed previously in Condition #1. Tom Hannum (Tract 400) offered public comment on road design engineering that detailed the specifics of road base thickness, comparing the requirements between the City of Reno and City of Elko on typical streets where school traffic is a factor. **Condition #6** stipulates providing a pedestrian plan for a safe route to the school and numerous suggested considerations like lighting and crosswalks to name a few. Mr. Kelly of the ECSD suggested that since most everybody is bused to and from school, this may not be an appropriate topic for consideration. Vice Chair Holland questioned if thought had been given to children who might walk to Khoury's, the Shell station or over to Muley's after school. She suggested that this should be considered anyway for that general area in terms of what we can do to improve it and make it safer. Further discussion ensued among the COA members; this condition may be a moot point considering the children being bused. **Condition #7** stipulates a plan on road maintenance for future years including upkeep, accountability so that Spring Creek Association can do continued maintenance on these areas which will be much more congested based on school traffic. Discussion ensued on how other areas have handled these issues. Vice Chair Holland asked suggested that if a lot of the fine tuning of the stipulations posed to the COA depends on the traffic, intersection and geometrics studies, is the COA able to continue this discussion or does the whole list of stipulations have to be approved ahead of time? President Bahr clarified what must occur. No further COA or public comment was offered. Discussion ensued on what other stipulations should be included in the motion. Member Park moved to approve the conditional use permit with the following stipulations: 1. Bring the whole

length of Parkchester Drive up to Elko County specifications. 2. Perform an additional traffic study on the potential for turn lanes at the intersections of Spring Creek Pkwy. and Parkchester Dr. and Spring Creek Pkwy and County Club Pkwy. along with the normal traffic studies that are already going to be performed. 3. Take into account a future trail system or sidewalk plan and pedestrian plan within Spring Creek Association to meet whatever guideline the rest of the Association has in place. Member Banuelos seconded the motion. The vote was called; the motion carried 3-0.

17. **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE A BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT AT 151 COUNTRY CLUB PKWY. (102-010-012) AND MATTERS REALTED THERETO.** Secretary Shields reviewed the history on the agenda item. Nathan Beck representing Arnold Beck Construction, was present and explained that the neighboring home is situated about three (3) feet over the property line onto the lot they will be building on at 151 Country Club Pkwy. COA members had questions on how the proposed property line would be drawn and if the existing neighboring driveway would be relocated because it is currently on the Beck property. Mr. Beck said the neighbor would be moving his driveway onto his own property. The comment on how importance of property surveys becomes prior to construction ensued and in this case the neighbor did not do a survey before building their own home. No public comment was offered. It was noted this is not the first time this situation has come up in Spring Creek over the years. Member Park moved to approve the boundary line adjustment at 151 Country Club Pkwy. that follows the boundary line indicated on the diagram submitted by Arnold Beck Construction. Vice Chair Holland seconded the motion. The vote was called; motion carried 3-0.
16. **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REAGRDNIG A NUISANCE VIOLATION OF DOMESTIC DOGS/CATS AT 393 PALACE CIRCLE (401-002-009) AND MATTERS REALTED THERETO.** Secretary Shields introduced the history of the agenda item. Amy Peterson, the property owner, was present stating she had a conversation with the neighbor about the instances on a couple of evenings when his windows were open, and her dog was barking and disturbing him. She said her dog wears a shock collar which the dog had broken. She also stated they have a wireless fence. She stated she told the neighbor they had ordered a new shock collar and it was coming. Normally her dog does not bark unless other animals are in the yard. No public comment was offered. Member Park moved to dismiss the nuisance violation of domestic dogs/cats at 393 Palace Circle since the problem appears to have been resolved the issue and the property owner understands the need to minimize the potential for any further complaints of her dog barking excessively. Member Banuelos seconded the motion. The vote was called; motion carried 3-0.
15. **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING PROPERTY VIOLATIONS OF NUISANCE AT 200 VIEWCREST DR. (101-007-007) AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO.** Secretary Shields reviewed the history of the agenda item stating multiple violations have been noted of dogs in the roadway and always barking. Complaints of constant yelling and arguing and numerous other complaints from neighboring property owners. The property owner, Jeff Kump, was present and stated he received the violation letter. Member Park and President Bahr expressed the importance of responding to violation notification letters promptly and resolving the issue quickly and when a renter does not take those steps, the Association expects the property owner to see to it the problem is resolved instead of leaving it to the Association to solve the problem. The Association violation letter goes

to the property owner, not the renter, and it's the property owner's responsibility to address the issue with the renter. Member Park suggested that he give neighbors' his phone number so that if issues arise with renters, the neighbors can call him direct instead of getting a letter from the Association. The property renter, Pamela Lucero, was present and detailed her personal situation about her dog, her kids and issues about ATV's she does not own but are ridden by others who unload their ATV's from trailers near her property. Member Park reiterated to Ms. Lucero the same thing he told Mr. Kump; to call Mr. Kump when other people are causing problems near the property she is renting and not yell at her kids excessively. Vice Chair Holland cautioned her to react more quickly should similar problems arise in the future. Josh Park (Tract 100) questioned just when the actual property owner has the right to know who is making the specific complaints in order to allow the discussion to happen to resolve complaints between the property owner, a renter and the person complaining. No additional public comment was offered. Member Park moved to dismiss the complaint unless further complaints about the renter of the property at 200 Viewcrest Dr. reoccur. Member Banuelos seconded the motion. The vote was called; motion carried 3-0.

12. **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE A HOME OCCUPATION PERMIT FOR AN AUTO CUSTOMIZATION SHOP AT 487 SPRING CREEK PKWY. (101-002-006) AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO.** Secretary Shields introduced the agenda item and explained the request for the home occupation permit. Ryan Reay was present to explain his request for the permit. His business would be conducted in a shop not connected to the house. Vice Chair Holland asked for clarification on what type work would be performed and if hazardous liquids or other materials would be involved. Mr. Reay was cautioned on the business hours allowed and that no noise or business activity is allowed between the hours of 10 p.m. and 7 a.m. and to be conscious of his neighbors and their concerns. Which he acknowledged he understood. He had submitted the hours of operation for his home occupation on his permit request. Vice Chair Holland moved to approve a home occupation permit for an auto customization shop at 487 Spring Creek Pkwy. Member Banuelos seconded the motion. The vote was called; motion carried 3-0.
13. **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE A HOME OCCUPATION SIGN FOR AN AUTO CUSTOMIZATION SHOP AT 487 SPRING CREEK PKWY. (101-002-006) AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO.** Secretary Shields and COA members reviewed the artist rendering of the proposed sign and the dimensions submitted by Mr. Reay. Member Park moved to approve a home occupation sign for an auto customization shop at 487 Spring Creek Pkwy. Vice Chair Holland seconded the motion. The vote was called; motion carried 3-0.
5. **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE CHANGES TO RULE #18 OF THE COA RULES AND REGULATIONS: EXCESSIVE BRUSH/WEEDS OR DEAD TREES/SHRUBS, NOXIOUS WEEDS TO INCLUDE NOXIOUS WEEDS ON ANY PART OF THE PROPERTY REGARDLESS OF PERCENTAGE COVERED AND MATTERS REALTED THERETO.** Secretary Shields introduced the agenda item and President Bahr commented on the detail of the proposed rule change to COA Rule #18. Vice Chair Holland asked if the percentage of noxious weed coverage should be deleted because it is covered elsewhere in the rules. Member Park suggested that he would like to see revisions to the suggested changes and that he had spoken with other property owners who had similar concerns.

Discussion ensued. Josh Park (Tract 100) offered comment that he favored eliminating the 50% wording and refer to the pamphlet, the website and ways to take care of noxious weeds. Member Park reviewed how wording might be changed for Rule #18. This agenda item will be added to the November 14, 2017 COA meeting agenda for further discussion and possible action.

6. **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE OR ADOPT A NEW RULE REGARDING THE NUMBER OF SHEDS/OUTBUILDINGS TO BE ALLOWED ON A PROPERTY AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO.** President Bahr reviewed the agenda item and mentioned that such items as tiny homes would also be considered. Member Park commented on the color of the shed/outbuilding, the siding material and other detail are also things to be considered along with where they can be located on a property before determining what could be adopted or approved in terms of new rules. Josh Park commented that he didn't think we want to get into telling people where they can put any building. The issue of grandfathering existing buildings also was addressed. Tom Hannum (Tract 400) commented that Elko County does have requirements as far as size and what must be on a foundation as well as setbacks and building permits for certain size buildings. Further discussion ensued. SCA rules over and above what the County requires should be drafted for review and discussion. No action can be taken now. Josh Park questioned who would be the one going to a property owner to address issues with outbuildings. Vice Chair Holland commented that she wanted to assure we are already adhering to the rules currently in place.
7. **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE ALLOWING CONEX CONTAINERS TO BE ON PROPERTIES AND MATTERS REALTED THERETO.** Member Park noted that a decision can be made at this meeting on this item. The container is cheap and that's why people choose them. We can make recommendations on them being placed on the back of the property and painted the color of the house with no wording visible on the container. The size of a container cannot exceed 10 feet by 40 feet and cannot be stacked. Smaller containers are allowed. A rule on allowing containers to be on properties with recommended requirements will be submitted at the next COA meeting on November 14, 2017.
8. **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE AND REVIEW THE SETBACKS FROM HOMES FOR OUTBUILDINGS AND SHEDS AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO.** President Bahr introduced the agenda item. Tom Hannum (Tract 400) offered comments on various County setback requirements. Member Park noted that various areas define what side, front and rear property boundaries are and questioned whether SCA wants to go to that extent as to define those boundaries.

**THE FOLLOWING AGENDA ITEMS RESUME IN THE PUBLISHED AGENDA NUMERIC ORDER.**

1. **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A PROPERTY VIOLATION OF INOPERATIVE VEHICLES AND UNSIGHTLY STORAGE AT 253 DOVE CREEK CT. (201-003-034) AND MATTERS REALTED THERETO.** Secretary Shields presented additional supporting information. The property is for sale. The property has been cleaned up, but some things remain to be done where unsightly storage is concerned. Vice Chair Holland asked for clarification on who sees the final payment of the fine if the property is

for sale. President Bahr commented on how the process works if the property owner does not come back to the area for health reasons and the property is sold. The realtor is not in charge of satisfying the fine through the sale. No public comment was offered. Member Park moved to waive the fine and dismiss the violation at 253 Dove Creek Ct. Vice Chair Holland seconded the motion. The vote was called; the motion carried 3-0.

2. **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A PROPERTY VIOLATIONS OF INOPERATIVE VEHICLES AND UNSIGHTLY STORAGE AT 548 LYNX DR. (202-019-016) AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO.** Secretary Shields reviewed the property history of the violation(s) and noted the has been in violation since September 6, 2016. The property owner was not present. No public comment was offered. Photos show that at least four (4) inoperative vehicles remain. Vice Chair Holland moved to uphold the fine and refer the property at 548 Lynx Dr. to the Board of Directors for legal action due to the length of time the property has been in violation regardless of whether additional progress is made on removing vehicles or trash. Member Park seconded the motion. The vote was called; the motion carried 3-0.
3. **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A PROPERTY VIOLATION OF INOPERATIVE VEHICLES AT 335 PARKCHESTER DR. (106A-002-062) AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO.** Secretary Shields reviewed the history of the agenda item violation. The property has been in violation since February 2017. The property owner is not present and has made no contact with the COA Secretary or other office staff until October 9<sup>th</sup> 2017, yesterday. At the September 2017 COA meeting the property owner had been given until this meeting to correct the violation. Discussion on the matter ensued among the COA members. No public comment was offered. Member Park moved to uphold the fine and refer the property violation of inoperative vehicles at 335 Parkchester Dr. to the Board of Directors for legal action. Vice Chair Holland seconded the motion. The vote was called; the motion carried 3-0.
4. **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING A PROPERTY VIOLATION OF INOPERATIVE VEHICLES AT 472 WESTCLIFF DR. (201-008-036) AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO.** Secretary Shields reviewed the history of the agenda item violation. The property owner, Shelby Lewallen, is not present now but attended the September COA meeting. At that time, it was decided that since she had showed proof that the violations of inoperative vehicles had been resolved no further action would be taken providing that the property owner obtain a building permit for the shed prior to the October COA meeting and if the permit is obtained the matter will not appear on the October COA meeting agenda. Secretary Shields indicated she did obtain the building permit. No public comment was offered. Member Park moved to dismiss the action for the violation of inoperative vehicles and storage of tools and trash and waive the fine at 472 Westcliff Dr. unless the same violation occurs in the future. Vice Chair Holland seconded the motion. The vote was called; the motion carried 3-0.
9. **REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE A LIVESTOCK PERMIT FOR ONE (1) GOAT ON 4.5 ACRES AT 808 CLOVER DR. (403-006-004) AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO.** Secretary Shields introduced the agenda item and provided the proper documentation for the livestock permit request for personal needs. No public comment

**COA REGULAR MEETING, OCTOBER 10, 2017**

was offered. Vice Chair Holland moved to approve the request for a livestock permit for one (1) goat on 4.5 acres at 808 Clover Dr. Member Banuelos seconded the amended motion. The vote was called; the motion carried 3-0.

- 10. REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE A LIVESTOCK PERMIT FOR TWO (2) ALPINE GOATS ON EIGHT (8) ACRES AT 377 ASHBURN DR. (109-007-013) AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO.** Secretary Shields introduced the agenda item and provided the proper documentation for the livestock permit request stating the request was for a 4-H project. No public comment was offered. Vice Chair Holland moved to approve the request for a livestock permit for two (2) goats at 377 Ashburn Dr. Member Banuelos seconded the motion. The vote was called; the motion carried 3-0.
- 14. REVIEW, DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE A COMMERCIAL SIGN PERMIT AT 263 SPRING VALLEY PKWY. #A1 (201-004-004) FOR A FIREARMS/AMMO/TRAINING STORE AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO.** Secretary Shields introduced the agenda item. No public comment was offered. Member Banuelos moved to approve a commercial sign permit request at 263 Spring Valley Pkwy. #A1 for a firearms/ammo/training store. Vice Chair Holland seconded the motion. The vote was called; the motion carried 3-0.
- 20. REVIEW, DISCUSSION REGARDING MONTHLY UPDATE ON PROPERTIES AT COPENHAVER AND MCCONNELL, P.C., AND MATTERS RELATED THERETO.** Secretary Shields introduced the agenda item and offered comment on the status on a couple of the property. Discussion ensued among the COA members. No public comment was offered.
- 21. APPROVE MINUTES FROM THE SEPTEMBER 12, 2017 COMMITTEE OF ARCHITECTURE REGULAR MEETING.** Vice Chair Holland moved to approve the September 12, 2017 COA Regular Meeting Minutes as presented. Member Banuelos seconded the motion. The vote was called; motion carried 2-0-1 (Member Park).
- 22. APPROVE COMMITTEE OF ARCHITECTURE REVENUE AND VIOLATION REPORTS FOR SEPTEMBER 2017.** Secretary Shields commented the report is straight forward and no additional clarification is required. No public comment was offered. Member Park moved to approve the Committee of Architecture Revenue and Violation Reports for September 2017 as presented. Member Banuelos seconded the motion. The vote was called; the motion carried 3-0.
- 23. COMMITTEE MEMBER AND STAFF COMMENTS.** Member Park questioned why we are paying for road maintenance on specific roadway areas on Shadybrook Dr. and Springfield Pkwy. Secretary Shields and President Bahr commented on the issue. He also asked if a timer would be obtained to keep track of and limit the prescribed public comment periods.
- 24. THE NEXT REGULAR MEETING OF THE COMMITTEE OF ARCHITECTURE IS SCHEDULED FOR TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 2017 AT 5:30 P.M.**
- 25. MEETING ADJOURNED: 8:16 p.m.**